## PATENT COOPERATION TRESTRUC'S PCT/PTO 23 DEC 2005

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
То:	PCT		
see form PCT/ISA/220			
·	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
	(PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
	Date of mailing		
	(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (page 2)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220	FOR FURTHER ACTION  See paragraph 2 below		
International application No. International filing date PCT/DE2004/001269 6/18/2004	(day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 6/25/2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classifica F16D48/06	tion and IPC		
Applicant Robert Bosch GMBH			
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. 1(a citations and explanations supporting su  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international appli  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the internationa  7. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is mad International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") exception of this International Searching Authority will not be so If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written	rd to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; ch statement  cation  I application  de, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the pt that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority orified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written so considered.		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/	Authorized officer		
Facsimile No. 5 V3229 529 39	Telephone No		

10/562642 International application No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY | AP5 | PCT/DE2004/001269 | PCT/DTO 9.3 | P

Box No. II Pri	ority 23 DEC 200!
Consequ	lowing document has not yet been furnished:  opy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rules 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).  anslation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rules 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).  uently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been hed on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
invalid (	inion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is red to be the relevant date.
3. Additional obs	ervations, if necessary:
	-
	·
	·
	× .

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/DE2004/001269

Statement					
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-9			
Inventive step (IS) Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims				
		1 - 9			
	Claims Claims				NO
	Claims Claims	1 - 9			
					YES
	Ciainis				110
Citations and explanations:			•		
see supplementary page					
					•
		,			
				•	
					•
•					